

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/499,817	02/08/2000	Paul Fischer	00EC037/78111 1783	
7	2590 12/10/2003		EXAM	INER
Welsh and Katz LTD			BUI, BING Q	
22nd Floor 120 South Riverside Plaza			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 60606			2642	
			DATE MAILED: 12/10/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		$\mathcal{Q}$					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
,	09/499,817	FISCHER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Bing Q Bui	2642					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 S	eptember 2003.						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.						
Disposition of Claims							
∑ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language profits acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of the	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not receive priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1196 at sentence of the specification of the certified copies application has been receptionity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 126	tion No red in this National Stage  ed. (e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet.  ceived. 0 and/or 121 since a specific					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2642

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-25 are presented for examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Henneuse et al (US Pat No. 5,963,913), herein after referred as Henneuse.

Regarding claim 1, with respect to figures 1-2, Henneuse teaches a method of providing data relating to a customer contact with a server system (automatic call distribution (ACD) system) to a scheduler (an ACD agent), the method comprising:

providing a web page (universal resource locator (URL)) from the server system (ACD system) to a client application (browser) of the scheduler (agent) (see Figs 1-2 and col. 3, Ins 11-26);

accessing the web page (URL) at a server application in response to a request from the client application (browser) (see Figs 1-2 and col. 3, lns 11-26);

providing at least one web page to the browser, the web page corresponding with the URL and including the data (see Figs 1-3; and col. 3, Ins 11-26 and col. 4, In 58-col. 5, In 17).

Regarding claim 2, Henneuse teaches the method as in claim 1, further comprising accessing via the server at least one database to obtain at least some of the data for inclusion in the web page (see Figs 1-3; and col. 3, Ins 11-26 and col. 4, In 58-col. 5, In 17).

Art Unit: 2642

Regarding claim 3, Henneuse teaches the method as in claim 1, further comprising attaching information to the URL before providing the URL to the browser (see Figs 1-3; and col. 3, Ins 11-26 and col. 4, In 58-col. 5, In 17).

Regarding claim 4, Henneuse teaches the method as in claim 3, the information attached to the URL including contact processing information, said contact processing information including at least one of a group consisting of: type of the customer contact, identification of the agent, and treatment of the contact by the ACD (see Figs 1-3; and col. 3, lns 11-26 and col. 4, ln 58-col. 5, ln 17).

Regarding claim 5, Henneuse teaches the method as in claim 3, the information attached to the URL including contact-derived information, said contact-derived information including at least one of a group consisting of: a calling party number, a billing number associated with an origin of the customer contact, a telephone number associated with the origin of the customer contact, a subscriber name associated with the origin of the customer contact, a called party number, information provided in response to a programmed script, identification of a customer provided in response to a programmed script, an account number provided in response to a programmed script, an e-mail source name, an e-mail subject line, a callback number provided in a web callback contact, a callback name provided in a web callback contact, and a computer address associated with the origin of the customer contact (see Figs 1-3; and col. 3, Ins 11-26 and col. 4, In 58-col. 5, In 17).

Art Unit: 2642

Regarding claim 6, Henneuse teaches the method as in claim 1, wherein the data in the web page includes at least some information attached to the URL by the ACD (see Figs 1-3; and col. 3, lns 11-26 and col. 4, ln 58-col. 5, ln 17).

Regarding claim 7, Henneuse teaches the method as in claim 1, further comprising selecting the URL based on information about the contact available to the ACD (see Figs 1-3; and col. 3, lns 11-26 and col. 4, ln 58-col. 5, ln 17).

Regarding claim 8, Henneuse teaches the method as in claim 1, further comprising obtaining at least some of the data in the web page based on at least some information attached to the URL by the ACD (see Figs 1-3; and col. 3, lns 11-26 and col. 4, ln 58-col. 5, ln 17).

Regarding claim 9, Henneuse teaches the method as in claim 1, wherein the step of providing the URL comprises: sending the URL to ACD console software of the agent; and providing the URL to the browser from the console software (see Figs 1-3; and col. 3, lns 11-26 and col. 4, ln 58-col. 5, ln 17).

Regarding claim 10, Henneuse teaches the method as in claim 1, wherein the step of providing the URL comprises sending the URL directly to the browser from the ACD system (see col. 10, lns 8 - 55).

Regarding claim 11, Henneuse teaches the method as in claim 1, the server being accessible through at least one of a group consisting of: a publicly accessible computer network, limited-access computer network, and a private computer network accessible within an organization operating the ACD (see Figs 1-3; and col. 3, Ins 11-26 and col. 4, In 58-col. 5, In 17).

Art Unit: 2642

Regarding claim 12, Henneuse teaches the method as in claim 1, the customer contact being one of a group consisting of: a telephone call, an e-mail contact, a web callback contact, a web chat contact, a facsimile contact, a video contact, and a web telephony voice contact (see Figs 1-3; and col. 3, lns 11-26 and col. 4, ln 58-col. 5, ln 17).

Regarding claim 13, Henneuse teaches the method as in claim 1, further comprising displaying the web page to the agent (see Figs 1-3; and col. 3, Ins 11-26 and col. 4, In 58-col. 5, In 17).

As to claims 14 and 20, they are rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claim 1 above, since claims 14 and 20 are merely a system for implementing the method defined in the method claim 1.

As to claims 15 and 21, they are rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claim 2 above, since claims 15 and 21 are merely a system for implementing the method defined in the method claim 2.

As to claims 16 and 22, they are rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claim 3 above, since claims 16 and 22 are merely a system for implementing the method defined in the method claim 3.

As to claims 17 and 23, they are rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claim 5 above, since claims 17 and 23 are merely a system for implementing the method defined in the method claim 5.

Art Unit: 2642

As to claim 18, it is rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claim 6 above, since claim 18 is merely a system for implementing the method defined in the method claim 6.

As to claim 19, it is rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claim 12 above, since claim 19 is merely a system for implementing the method defined in the method claim 12.

As to claim 24, it is rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claim 13 above, since claim 24 is merely a system for implementing the method defined in the method claim 13.

As to claim 25, it is rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claims 1
13.

## Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-25 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bing Bui whose telephone number is (703) 308-5858. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on (703) 305-4731. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306

Art Unit: 2642

and for formal communications intended for entry (please label the response "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE") or for informal or draft communications not intended for entry (please label the response "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Dec 07, 2003

BING BUI PATENT EXAMINER Page 7